Message

From: Khan, Annie (DPH) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MASSMAIL-

01/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=ANNIE.KHAN]

Sent: 8/5/2011 8:00:47 PM

To: 'Chan, Anthea K.' [Anthea.X.Chan@usdoj.gov]

Subject: RE: Boston Pill seizure

Great!!!

If you need anything else in the future, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Have a great weekend.

Annie

From: Chan, Anthea K. [mailto:Anthea.X.Chan@usdoj.gov]

Sent: Friday, August 05, 2011 12:22 PM

To: Khan, Annie (DPH)

Subject: RE: Boston Pill seizure

: Boston Pili Seizure

Hi Annie,

I forgot to reply earlier this week, thanks again for looking into the case for me. I just wanted to make sure that our tablet count difference was accounted for and it should be fine now.

By the way, the other two exhibit tablet counts were fine.

Thanks and have a great weekend!

Anthea Chan

Forensic Chemist DEA Western Laboratory (415) 744-7051

From: Khan, Annie (DPH) [mailto:annie.khan@state.ma.us]

Sent: Tuesday, August 02, 2011 5:12 AM

To: Chan, Anthea K.

Cc: Moriwaki, William M.; Matthies, Morgan T. **Subject:** RE: Boston Pill seizure

Hi Anthea,

Lab # Boston

~ 480 green round tablets in 1 pb (OC 80)

5 tablets analyzed

Net wt: 127.1g

(Count was based on an extrapolation of the avg. wt of 5 tablets.)

Also there were two other Lab# s associated with this case.

Lab# Boston

~ 800 Blue round tablets in 1 pb (M 30)

5 tablets analyzed Net wt: 100.2g

(Count was based on an extrapolation of the avg. wt of 5 tablets.)

Lab#
Boston

2.5 Blue round tablets in 1 pb (M 30)

1tablets analyzed Net wt: 0.3382g

Hope this helps.

Please let me know if you need anything else pertaining to this case.

Annie Chemical Terrorism Lab Drug Analysis Lab 617-983-6622 (work) 617-983-6625 (fax) (cell)

From: Chan, Anthea K. [mailto:Anthea.X.Chan@usdoj.gov]

Sent: Thursday, July 28, 2011 4:50 PM

To: Khan, Annie (DPH)

Cc: Moriwaki, William M.; Matthies, Morgan T. **Subject:** FW: Boston Pill seizure

Hello Annie,

I am the DEA chemist working on the OC/80 tablets from your lab analysis

After opening the exhibit, I observed that there were five small bags, each with partial tablets, and one larger bag with numerous tablets. Looking at your report and the Boston Police evidence form, and then comparing those to the exhibit material I have on hand, I realized that there are discrepancies between all three. It appears that the BPD evidence form quoted 870 tablets of Oxycontin for this particular exhibit but your report states that you had 480 OC/80 tablets and the net weight reported was 127.10 grams. Based on my experience and on published info, the weight of an OC/80 tablet should be ~270mg (sometimes as low as 266mg as I have seen) which means that your net weight for 480 tablets was less than what I would have estimated it to be. I am not sure if, maybe, you had extrapolated the number of tablets in the exhibit? I am assuming that the five partial tablets were the ones that you conducted your analyses on but that all

of these tablets came from the same container originally, and, if this is true, then there were 477 tablets in the exhibit (another chemist at our lab and I both counted 472 whole OC/80 tablets in the larger baggie). Maybe I'm missing something here or maybe you have more information that hasn't been provided that might help? Also, did you happen to contact BPD about the discrepancy between your tablet count and their description? If so, is there a record of this? I am attaching a photo of the BPD form and a photo of the exhibit after I had opened it as I hope it will help.

I would like, of course, to understand how these discrepancies came about. I realize that your analysis was performed over a year ago, but I hope that you can help us out since I'm reanalyzing the exhibit and these details could prove to be important at a later time.

Thanks so much in advance for your help and your time!

Anthea Chan
Forensic Chemist
DEA Western Laboratory
(415) 744-7051